# "To Study the Microbial Etiology of Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract Infection at a Tertiary Care Hospital in Kanpur"

R. Sujatha<sup>1</sup>, Nidhi Pal<sup>2</sup>, Deepak Sameer<sup>\*</sup>, Nong<sup>3</sup>

#### Abstract:

Catheter-associated urinary tract infections, abbreviated as CA-UTIs are the most commonly faced hospital-acquired infections or nosocomial infections. CA-UTIs have been associated with increased morbidity, mortality, hospital cost, and length of stay.

Aim: To isolate and identify etiological agents responsible for CA-UTI.

**Materials and Methods:** This prospective study was conducted at Rama Hospital on 300 patients meeting the requirements of inclusion criteria during January 2018 to June 2018. Detailed history of the patients was recorded and symptoms pertaining to CA-UTI were recorded. Urine culture was done to identify the causative agent of CA-UTI. Symptomatic patients with urine culture showing growth after 48 hours of catheterization were taken as a case of CA-UTI. **Results:** A total of 300 patients were having indwelling urinary catheter with an aggregate of 1172 catheter days over a period of 6 months, in which 19 developed CA-UTI. The CA-UTI rate was 16.21 cases per 1000 catheter days. The mean age of study participants was 25 years and above. Most predominant etiological agents responsible for CA-UTI were Escherichia coli (36.8%), Candida species (31%), Klebsiella pneumonia (15%), Enterococcus faecalis(10%), Staphylococcus species(5%).

**Conclusion:** Implementation of infection control practices is necessary for prevention and control of CA-UTI. The most common practices include hand hygiene, close drainage system, aseptic method for insertion and catheter care along with daily need assessment with evidence based observations.

Key words: CA-UTI, etiology, E.coli.

# Introduction

Catheter associated urinary tract infections (CAUTI) are the most frequent nosocomial infections with the daily risk of developing CAUTI in the acute care settings [1]. Around 15–25% hospitalized patients receiving indwelling urinary catheter develops CAUTI with prolonged catheterization and in among 40% nosocomial UTI, 80% is due to CAUTI [2]. Patients continue to acquire new organisms at a rate of about 3– 7%/day. In long term catheterization that is by the end of 30 days CAUTI develops in 100% patients usually with 2 or more symptoms or clinical sign of haematuria, fever, suprapubic or loin pain, visible biofilm in character or catheter tube and acute confusion all state[3].

#### **Material and Methods**

This prospective study was performed in the Rama Hospital on 300 patients meeting the requirements of inclusion criteria during January 2018 to June 2018. All patients with indwelling urinary catheters hospitalized for longer than 48 hours were included in the study. Patients with symptoms of urinary tract infections prior to catheterization were excluded.

A CAUTI symptom include: cloudy urine, blood in the urine, strong urine odor, urine leakage around your catheter, pressure, pain, or discomfort in lower back or stomach, chills, fever, unexplained fatigue, vomiting. The diagnosis of CAUTI was done as per the CDC guidelines [4]. Urine sample was collected from the distal edge of the catheter tube (after cleaning with an antiseptic) using a sterile needle and syringe prior to catheter change or removal from each patient [5]. The sample was carefully dispensed into a sterile universal container and transported to the microbiology laboratory for analysis. Urine microscopy was performed on urine specimen to detect the presence of leukocytes, epithelial cells, erythrocytes and other cells. With the calibrated loop, urine was cultured on CLED agar media and incubated aerobically at 37°C for 24-48 hours for quantitative analysis to assess the microbial counts.

Urine culture was considered significant when colony count was more than >105 cfu/ml of urine. Or colony count was  $\approx$ 103- 104 with pyuria and patients was in ICU. Microorganism was identified according to conventional biochemical techniques [6]. All data were tabulated and analysed using Microsoft Excel 2010. Catheter utilization rate was calculated by dividing the total number of device days by the total number of patient days. Rate of CAUTI per 1000 device days were calculated by dividing the total number of CAUTIs by the total number of catheter days and multiplying the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1.</sup> Prof and Head, Department of Microbiology, Rama Medical College Hospital and Research Center Kanpur (India)

Tutor, Department of Microbiology, Govt Institute of Medical Sciences, Greater noida
 Transformation of Microbiology, Covt Institute of Medical Sciences, Greater noida

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3.</sup> Tutor, Department of Microbiology, Rama Medical College Hospital and Research Center Kanpur (India)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4.</sup> PG student, Department of Microbiology, Rama Medical College Hospital and Research Center Kanpur (India)

result by 1000. A p-value <0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

### Results

In this study total of 300 patients were included, those having indwelling urinary catheter over 6 months. Among 300 patients 19 were developed CA-UTI with significant colony count.



Here among 19 CA-UTI patients 14 (73.6%) and 6(31.5%) were female and male respectively. Maximum patients were between 41 to 60 years of age followed by more than 60 years age group in male and female both. (Table 1).

 Table 1: Age and Gender wise distribution of

 CAUTI patients.

Age (Years)	Male	Female
<20	0	1
21-40	1	2
41-60	3	6
>60	2	5
Total	6	14



The CA-UTI rate was 16.21 cases per 1000 catheter days. Most predominant etiological agents responsible for CAUTI were *Escherichia coli* (36.8%), *Candida species* (33.2%), *Klebsiella pneumonia* (15%), *Enterococcusfaecalis* (10%), *Staphylococcus species* (5%)(fig 2).

# Discussion

Catheter-associated urinary tract infections (CAUTIs) represent the most common type of nosocomial infection and are a major health concern due to the complications and frequent recurrence. It is widely recognized as the most common HAI in the world, accounting for 40% of all HAIs [7]. Gram-negative bacteria species that cause CAUTIs express a number of virulence factors associated with adhesion, motility, biofilm formation, immunoavoidance, and nutrient acquisition as well as factors that cause damage to the host[8]. These infections can be reduced by strict use of care bundles.

The prognosis of catheter-associated infection is complicated due to the occurrence of chronic or recurrent UTIs, complicated UTI, and pyelonephritis. If left untreated, these infections can lead to abscess formation, renal obstruction, and scarring and eventually will lead to bacteremia and sepsis. These infections are difficult to treat due to the presence of biofilms and crystals that protect uropathogens from proper treatment [8].

In CAUTI the incidence of infection is Escherichia coli in 24%, Candida in 24%, Enterococcus in 14% Pseudomonas in 10%, Klebsiella in 10% and remaining part with other organisms [9].

Similar to most of the studies, E. coli is most common uropathogen. It is member of Enterobacteriaceae family. Normal commensal of E.coli strains as well as uropathogenic strains colonize the large intestine. Only UPEC strains are primarily selected for growth in the urinary tract. Virulence factors that differentiate these a virulent commensals from virulent strains of E. coli were acquired on mobile genetic elements by horizontal gene transfer; examples of such transfer can be found on the E. coli chromosome in the form of pathogen city islands [10]. In this study K. pneumonia was the second most bacterial uropathogen(15%). Gould C et al.find the contribution of Klebsiella spp. in CAUTI is near about 7.7%[11]. The source of Klebsiella causing CAUTI can be endogenous typically via meatal, rectal, or vaginal colonization or exogenous, such as via equipment or contaminated hands of healthcare personnel. They typically migrate along the outer surface of the indwelling urethral catheter, until they enter the urethra.

In the present study bacterial infections were accounted for 66.8% of catheter associated urinary infection and rest 32.8% were associated with Candiuria. Warren JW reported 70% of bacterial infection whereas fungal infections were accounted for 16.6% and mixed fungal and bacterial infections accounted for 12.5%. Candida spp. was the commonest [12]. Diabetes mellitus has been reported as the most common risk factor for fungal infection [13, 14]. The duration of catheterization is also an important risk factor as the duration increases the incidence of fungal infection is increased [15].

In this study among gram positive cocci 10% and 5% of Enterococcus sprang S.aurus were associated with CA-UTI. In these two, Staphylococcus aureus are the common gram positive bacteria usually responsible for skin and soft tissue infections but rarely cause CAUTI and bacteraemia [16]. In another study, E. coli was found the commonest (36%) followed by Enterococcus spp. (25%), Klebsiella species (20%) and Pseudomonas spp. (5%) [17]. Now the incidence of Staphylococcal UTI as well as CAUTI is increasing and the organisms carry wide variety of multidrug-resistant genes on plasmids.

Early detection of CAUTI is simple by examination of urine and catheter biofilm with microscopy as well as culture with antibiogram. It is easy and cost effective with early diagnosis and treatment for good clinical outcome.

## Conclusion

Regular continuous education and evaluation of bundle compliance by regular audits are the key elements to control CA-UTI. The most common practices include hand hygiene, close drainage system, aseptic method for insertion is important.

#### Reference

- Lo E, Nicolle L, Classen D, Arias KM, Podgorny K, Anderson DJ, et al. Strategies to prevent catheter associated urinary tract infections in acute care hospitals. Infection Cont Hosp Epid. 2008;29(Suppl 1):S41-50.
- Esposito S, Noviello S, Leone S. Catheter-associated urinary tract infections: Epidemiology and prevention. Le Infezioni in Medicina. 2008;16(3):130-143
- Parida S, Mishra SK. Urinary tract infections in the critical care unit: A brief review. Indian Journal of Critical Care Medicine. 2013;17(6):370-374.
- 4. Centres for Disease Control and Prevention. Protocol for reporting Catheter-associated urinary tract infections to the National Healthcare Safety Network. Available at:

http://www.cdc.gov/hai/pdfs/NHSN/7pscCAUTISAM PLE.pdf. Accessed on January 2014.

- Mehta A, Rosenthal VD, Mehta Y, Chakravarthy M, Todi SK, Sen N, et al. Device-associated nosocomial infection rates in intensive care units of seven Indian cities: Findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC). J Hosp Infect. 2007; 67(2):168-74.
- Meite S, Boni-Cisse C, Guéi MC, Houédanon C, FayeKetté H. Assessment of the infectious risk in clinical laboratory: Example of Bacteriology-Virology Laboratory of the University Hospital of Yopougon. Revue Bioafrica; 2007. Available at:

http://www.revuebioafrica.net/files/bioafrica%20n%C2 %B04,%202007/http://www.

- revuebioafrica.net/files/bioafrica%20n%C2%B04.
- 7. Hanchett M, Rn M. Preventing CAUTI: A patientcentered approach. Prevention. 2012; 43:42–50.
- S. M. Jacobsen, D. J. Stickler, H. L. T. Mobley, and M. E. Shirtliff. Complicated Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract Infections Due to Escherichia coli and Proteus mirabilis. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2008 Jan; 21(1): 26–59.
- Weiner LM, Webb AK, Limbago B, et al. Antimicrobial-resistant pathogens associated with healthcare-associated infections: Summary of data reported to the national healthcare safety network at the centers for disease control and prevention, 2011-2014. Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology. 2016;37(11):1288
- Hacker J, Kaper JB ,Pathogenicity islands and the evolution of microbes. Annu Rev Microbiol. 2000; 54():641-79.
- Gould C, Umscheid C, Agarwal R, et al. Healthcare Infections Control Practices Advisory Committee (HICPAC). In: Guideline for Prevention of Catheterassociated Urinary Tract Infections. 2009 Available at: www.cdc.gov/hicpac/pdf/CAUTI/CAUTI guideline2009final. pdf
- Warren JW. The catheter and urinary tract infection. The Medical Clinics of North America. 1991;75:481-493
- Sangamithra V, Sneka, Praveen S, Manonmoney. Incidence of catheter associated urinary tract infection in medical ICU in a tertiary care hospital. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017;6(4):662-669
- Kremery V, Barnes AJ. Non-albicans Candida spp. causing fungaemia: pathogenicity and antifungal resistance. The Journal of Hospital Infection. 2002;50:243-260
- 15. Kauffman CA, Vazquez JD, Sobei HA, Gallis DSMK, Karchmer AW, Sugar AM, et al. Prospective multicenter surveillance study of funguria in hospitalized patients and the National Institute for Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) Mycoses Study Group. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2000;30:14-18
- Romero-Vivas J, Rubio M, Fernandez C, Picazo JJ. Mortality associated with nosocomial bacteremia due to methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 1995;21(6):1417-1423.
- Brooks GF, Carroll KC, Butel JS, Morse SA. Mietzner TA, editors. Jawetz, Melnick & Adelburg's Medical Microbiology. 26th ed. NewYork, London: Mc-Graw Hill; 2013