Comparative Analysis of ‘in vivo’ Microscopic Images of Rat Prostate Tissue through Restoration Algorithm
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Abstract—Image restoration is an important issue in medical imaging. Images are often degraded during the image acquisition process. The degradation may involve blurring, information loss due to sampling, quantization effects, and various sources of noise. The purpose of image restoration is to estimate the original image from the degraded image that minimizes the MSE (Mean Square Error) between them. It is widely used in various fields of applications, such as medical imaging, astronomical imaging, remote sensing, microscopy imaging, photography deblurring, and forensic science, etc. We have in vivo prostate tissue of rat after the staining, a technique used in microscopy to enhance contrast in the microscopic image. We have the image of in vivo prostate tissue of rat by administration of specific medicine as the experimental image. In this paper we are giving the comparative results on the prostate tissue after different image restoration techniques like Wiener filter and Geometric mean filter, on the basis of MSE (Mean Square Error) and SNR (Signal to Noise Ratio). After analysis, in term of the MSE, SNR and PSNR, we have the comparative results on the prostate tissue after different image restoration techniques.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Images are produced to record or display useful information. Due to imperfections in the imaging and capturing process, however, the recorded image invariably represents a degraded version of the original scene [15]. Blurring [5, 6, 7, 8] is a form of bandwidth reduction of an ideal image owing to the imperfect image formation process. It can be caused by relative motion between the camera and the original scene, or by an optical system that is out of focus, when aerial photographs are produced for remote sensing purposes, blurs are introduced by atmospheric turbulence, aberrations in the optical system, and relative motion between the camera and the ground. Such blurring is not confined to optical images, for example electron micrographs are corrupted by spherical aberrations of the electron lenses, and CT scans suffer from X-ray scatter. In this paper we have used Fourier spectrum method, as a blur identification method which is used to identify the blurs that are presents in the degraded image, which is very useful in the image restoration process. The field of image restoration [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14] (sometimes referred to as image deblurring or image deconvolution) is concerned with the reconstruction or estimation of the uncorrupted image from a blurred and noisy one. Essentially, it tries to perform an operation on the image that is the inverse of the imperfections in the image formation system.

This paper attempts to analyze the brightness of a rat prostate image in Fourier transformed space. The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 and 3 describes Image formation model and Linear Motion Blur, Section 4 and 5 explains the proposed approach of Image Restoration Algorithm and Quantitative Approach. Further Sections 6 and 7 describe the, experimental results and conclusion respectively.

II. IMAGE FORMATION MODEL

We assume that the blurring function acts as a convolution kernel or point-spread function \( d(n_1,n_2) \) that does not vary spatially. It means that the statistical properties (mean and correlation function) of the image and noise do not change spatially. These modeling assumptions can be mathematically formulated as follows. The ideal image \( f(n_1,n_2) \) that does not contain any blur or noise, then the recorded image \( g(n_1,n_2) \) is modeled as shown in Figure 1a.

\[
g(n_1,n_2) = f(n_1,n_2) \otimes d(n_1,n_2) + w(n_1,n_2)
= \sum_{k_1=0}^{N-1} \sum_{k_2=0}^{M-1} d(k_1,k_2) f(n_1-k_1,n_2-k_2) + w(n_1,n_2) \tag{1}
\]

Where \( w(n_1,n_2) \) is the additive noise that corrupts the ideal image.

\[
f(n_1,n_2) \rightarrow d(n_1,n_2) \rightarrow g(n_1,n_2)
\]

(a)
The blurring of images is modeled in Fig. 1 as the convolution of an ideal image with a 2-D Point Spread Function (PSF) \( d(n_1,n_2) \). The interpretation of (1) is that, if the ideal image \( f(n_1,n_2) \) consists of a single intensity point or point source, this point would be recorded as a spread-out intensity pattern \( d(n_1,n_2) \), hence the name point spread function. Equation (1) can be rewritten in the frequency domain \([1]-[4]\) as,

\[
G(u,v) = F(u,v)D(u,v) + W(u,v)
\]  

where \( D(u,v) \) is the Fourier transform of the PSF (called the optical Transfer function or OTF).

III. LINEAR MOTION BLUR

It occurs due to the relative motion between the camera and the scene, i.e. the object moved during the time that the shutter was open, with the result that the object appears to be smeared in the recorded image. Obviously we obtain precisely the same effect if the camera moved while the shutter was open. When the scene to be recorded, translates relative to the camera at a constant velocity \( v_{\text{relative}} \) under an angle of \( \Phi \) radians with the horizontal axis during the exposure interval \([0, t_{\text{exposure}}]\), the distortion is one-dimensional. Defining the “length of motion” by \( L = v_{\text{relative}} \cdot t_{\text{exposure}} \), then the PSF is given by:

\[
d(x, y; L, \phi) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{L}; & \text{if } \sqrt{x^2+y^2} \leq \frac{L}{2} \text{ and } \frac{x}{y} = -\tan \phi \\ 0; & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}
\]  

The discrete version of (3) is not easily captured in a closed form expression in general. For the special case that \( \Phi = 0 \), an appropriate approximation is:

\[
d(n_1,n_2;L) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{L}; & \text{if } n_1 = 0, |n_2| < \frac{L-1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2L} \left( (L-1)-2 \left| \frac{n_2}{L} \right| \right); & \text{if } n_1 = 0, |n_2| = \frac{L-1}{2} \\ 0; & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}
\]

IV. IMAGE RESTORATION ALGORITHM

A. Inverse Filter

From equation (1), if noise is not present in the observed image then the recorded image can be modeled as

\[
g(n_1,n_2) = d(n_1,n_2) \otimes f(n_1,n_2)
\]

Its Fourier transform gives,

\[
\tilde{G}(u,v) = G(u,v)D(u,v)
\]

\[
\tilde{F}(u,v) = \frac{G(u,v)F(u,v)}{D(u,v)}
\]

i. e., by modeling the degenerating effect \( d \) and dividing the FT of the image by the FT of the model, then we can get the FT of the restored image. If noise is present in the observed image then we get

\[
g(n_1,n_2) = d(n_1,n_2) \otimes f(n_1,n_2) + w(n_1,n_2)
\]

Its Fourier transform gives,

\[
\tilde{G}(u,v) = G(u,v)D(u,v)F(u,v) + W(u,v)
\]

\[
\tilde{F}(u,v) = \frac{G(u,v)F(u,v) + W(u,v)}{D(u,v)}
\]

B. Wiener Filter

To overcome the noise sensitivity of the inverse filter, a number of restoration filters have been developed that are collectively called least-squares filters \([16, 17]\). We describe the two most commonly used filters from this collection, namely the Wiener filter and the constrained least-squares filter. The Wiener filter is a linear spatially invariant filter to choose an estimate \( \hat{f} \) of the uncorrupted image \( f \) such that it minimizes the mean-squared error (MSE) between the ideal and the restored image.

\[
\text{MSE} = E\left[ (f(n_1,n_2) - \hat{f}(n_1,n_2))^2 \right]
\]

\[
\approx \sum_{n_1=0}^{N-1} \sum_{n_2=0}^{M-1} \left[ f(n_1,n_2) - \hat{f}(n_1,n_2) \right]^2
\]

The solution of this minimization problem is known as the Wiener filter. It removes the additive noise and inverts the blurring simultaneously so as to emphasize any lines which are hidden in the image. This filter operates in the Fourier domain, making the elimination of noise easier as the high and low frequencies are removed from the noise to leave a sharp image. Using Fourier transforms means the noise is easier to completely eliminate and the actual line imbedded in noise easier to isolate making it a slightly more effective method of filtering. The Wiener filter in Fourier domain can be expressed as follows:
$$MSE = \frac{1}{MN}\sum_{i=0}^{M-1}\sum_{j=0}^{N-1}\{f(x,y) - \hat{f}(x,y)\}^2 \quad (11)$$

### B. Signal to Noise Ratio (SNR)

In image restoration, the improvement in quality of the restored image over the recorded blurred one is measured by the signal-to-noise-ratio improvement. The signal-to-noise-ratio \(^7\) of the recorded (blurred and noisy) image is defined as follows in decibels:

$$SNR_i = 10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{\text{variance of the ideal image } f(n_i,n_j)}{\text{variance of the difference image } g(n_i,n_j) - f(n_i,n_j)} \right) (dB)$$

The signal-to-noise-ratio of the restored image is similarly defined as:

$$SNR = 10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{\sigma_i^2}{\sigma_e^2} \right)$$

Where \(\sigma_i^2\) is the variance of the desired image and \(\sigma_e^2\) is the variance of the difference image.

### C. Peak Signal to Noise Ratio (PSNR)

The metric Peak Signal to Noise Ratio (PSNR) \(^{14}\) which relates the magnitude of the noise to the peak value in the image, in decibels, is defined as,

$$PSNR = 10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{p^2}{MSE} \right) dB \quad (13)$$

Where \(p\) is the peak intensity value of a signal (i.e. 255 is the peak value in an 8-bit image).

### VI. Experimental Results

In table-1(A), we have shown the image analysis of prostate tissue in case of motion blur of length 7 pixels and angle 30°. The original image of prostate tissue is given in figure 2(a). The power spectrum of figure 2(a) is given in figure 2(b) and its histogram plot is given in figure 2(c). Using MATLAB software, we are generated a degraded image after introducing a motion blur in length 7 pixels and angle 30° which are shown in figure 2(d) and its power spectrum (of figure 2(d)) is given in figure 2(e). The restored image are shown in figure 2(f), (g) & (h)) after applying the various restoration algorithm such as Wiener Filter and Geometric mean filter on the degraded image of figure 2(d). These restoration filters have better restored image and its comparative performance using quantitative approach (MSE, SNR & PSNR) are given in table -1 (B). From table -1(B), we see that the degraded image have MSE - 0.011900, SNR-
14.779509 and PSNR=19.2445 after applying the Wiener filter we get the MSE = 0.006473, SNR=20.167760 and PSNR = 21.8889 and after applying the Geometric mean filter we get the MSE = 0.000922, SNR=35.145876 and PSNR=30.3537, after seeing these data we can say that geometric mean filter have smallest MSE and highest SNR and PSNR value, this means it has better restored image in comparison of other restoration algorithm.

(d). Degraded Image (with random noise & motion Blur) of fig.2(a)

(e). Power spectrum of fig.2(d)

(f). Restored Image (with parametric wiener Filter) of fig.2(d)

(g). Restored Image (with constant wiener Filter) of fig.2(d)
The geometric mean filter gives the better result in comparison of other restoration filtering techniques. The geometric mean filter have smallest MSE-0.000922 and highest SNR-35.1459dB and PSNR-30.3537dB in case of motion blur in comparison of MSE, SNR and PSNR values of other restoration filter.
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